SUPREME COURT, U. S.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1969

No. _____

GARDEN STATE TRANSIT CO., INC.,

Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al.*,

Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court For the District of New Jersey

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

HERMAN B. J. WECKSTEIN,
Attorney for Appellant,
60 Park Place,
Newark, New Jersey, 07102.
(201) 622-6255

Adams Press Corp., 11 Commerce Street, Newark, N. J.—Market 3-8611-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT	1
OPINIONS BELOW	2
JURISDICTION	2
STATUTES INVOLVED	3
QUESTION PRESENTED	3
STATEMENT:	4
Commission Proceedings	4
Court Proceedings	6
THE QUESTIONS ARE SUBSTANTIAL	7
CONCLUSION	16
APPENDIX:	
A—49 U.S.C. 307(a) 49 U.S.C. 308(c) and 28 U.S.C. 1336	1a
B—Opinion and Order of the Court Below	3a
C—Opinion and Order of the Interstate Commerce Commission	5a
D—Orders on Reconsideration of the Interstate Commerce Commission	16a
E—Recommended Report and Order of Joint Board No. 3	19a

Cases Cited

The Arrow Line, Inc., 103 M.C.C.	13
The Arrow Line v. United States, 256 F. Supp. 608	13

PAGE

Baltimore & Annapolis Railroad Co.—Ext.—Washington, D.C., 79 M.C.C. 123 15

Billy R. Halum, 107 M.C.C. 891 15

Blodgett Uncrated Furniture Service v. United States, 288 F. Supp. 591 13

Burlington Truck Lines v. United States, 371 U. S. 156, 9 L. Ed. 2nd 207, 83 S. Ct. 239 13

City of Chicago v. United States, —— U.S. ——, 90 S. Ct. ——, 24 L. Ed. 2d 340 3

Connecticut-New York Airport Bus Co., 107 M.C.C. 250 15

Florida v. United States, 282 U.S. 194, 51 S. Ct. 119, 75 L. Ed. 291 ..... 10, 11

Inland Motor Freight v. United States, 60 F. Supp. 520 10

Inter-City Transportation Co. v. United States, 89 F. Supp. 441 10

Lang Trans. Corporation v. United States, 75 F. Supp. 915 10

McLean Trucking Co. Inc. v. United States, 63 F. Supp. 829 14

Minneapolis Van, 6 M.C.C. 477 14

Monarch Associates, Inc., 107 M.C.C. 277 13

Nashua Motor Express, Inc. v. United States, 230 F. Supp. 646 14

Norfolk Southern Bus Corp. v. United States, 96 F. Supp. 756, affd. 340 U.S. 802, 71 S. Ct. 68, 95 L. Ed. 590 ..... 10, 14

PAGE

Pan American Bus Lines, 1 M.C.C. 190 11

Pinkett, et al. v. United States, 105 F. Supp. 67 10

Safeway Trails v. United States, 176 F. Supp. 201, affd. 361 U.S. 86, 80 S. Ct. 159, 4 L. Ed. 2d 116 15

Squaw Transit Co., 48 M.C.C. 17 14

United States v. Baltimore & O. R. Co., 293 U.S. 454, 55 S. Ct. 268, 79 L. Ed. 587 11

United States v. Capital Transit Co., 325 U.S. 357, 89 L. Ed. 1663, 65 S. Ct. 1166 3

United States v. Carolina Freight Carrier Corp., 315 U.S. 475, 62 S. Ct. 722, 86 L. Ed. 971 11

United States v. Chicago M. St. P.R. Co., 294 U.S. 499, 55 S. Ct. 462, 79 L. Ed. 1023 11

United States v. Detroit and Cleveland Navigation Company, 326 U.S. 326, 66 S. Ct. 75, 90 L. Ed. 37 3

United States v. Dixie Highway Express, 389 U.S. 409, 19 L. Ed. 2d 639, 88 S. Ct. 539 17

United States v. Pierce Auto Freight Lines, 327 U.S. 515, 66 S. Ct. 687, 90 L. Ed. 821 3

Universal Camera Corp. v. United States, 340 U.S. 474, 95 L. Ed. 456, 71 S. Ct. 456 13

Statutes Cited

5 U.S.C.:

Sec. 551 2

Sec. 557 10

PAGE

28 U.S.C.:

Sec. 1253 2

Sec. 1336 ..... 2, 3

Sec. 2101(b) 2

49 U.S.C.:

Sec. 17(9) 2

Sec. 307 ..... 3, 4

Sec. 308(c) 3, 15

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1969

No.

GARDEN STATE TRANSIT, CO., INC.,

Appellant.

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al.*,

Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

Garden State Transit Lines, Inc. appeals from the judgment of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey entered on December 16, 1969, dismissing the complaint seeking to set aside and annul findings, decisions and order of the Interstate Commerce Commission (Commission) relating to application filed by appellant, and submit this statement to show that the Supreme Court of the United States has jurisdiction of the appeal and that a substantial question is presented.